Original Article

## The Correlation of Some Secondary Metabolites of Alfalfa (*Medicago sativa* L.) with Plant Organ and Harvest Time

Hamid Reza Vahidipour<sup>(D)</sup>| Monireh Cheniany<sup>\*(D)</sup>| Mehrdad Lahouti<sup>(D)</sup>| Ali Ganjeali<sup>(D)</sup>| Maryam Moghaddam Matin<sup>(D)</sup>

Department of Biology, Faculty of Science, Ferdowsi University of Mashhad, Mashhad, Iran

\*Corresponding Author E-mail: cheniany@um.ac.ir

Received: 2023-10-06, Revised: 2023-11-04, Accepted: 2023-11-26

#### Abstract

**Background:** Alfalfa (*Medicago sativa* L.) is an important source of phytoestrogens. The abundance of alkaloids, phenols, flavonoids, and isoflavonoids has made this plant a rich source of these plant estrogens. Cultivation of alfalfa as a rich source of phytoestrogens for medicinal purposes has provided opportunities for alternative use of this forage.

**Methods:** The study was carried out as a hydroponic culture in a perlite-cocopeat compacted bed with three replications. Roots and shoots of alfalfa plants were sampled separately in two stages (the 30<sup>th</sup> and 60<sup>th</sup> days after sowing). Plant samples were extracted with methanol solvent, and total phenols, flavonoids, and isoflavonoids contents were measured by spectrophotometric colorimetric method.

**Results:** The data analysis showed a significant effect of plant organ and harvest time on the contents of total phenols, flavonoids, and isoflavonoids ( $P \le 0.05$ ). The maximum accumulation of these compounds was in the plant shoots, and with the increase of the harvest time, the content of these phytoestrogens increased. Spearman's correlation analysis showed the different effects of the plant organ on the correlation level of the mentioned metabolites, so the flavonoids of the roots and shoots showed the most positive correlation, while isoflavonoids did not show a significant correlation ( $P \le 0.05$ ).

**Conclusions:** The presence of the maximum contents of total phenols, flavonoids, and isoflavonoids in the shoots of alfalfa can be concluded that the distribution of secondary metabolites in plants, the same as the primary metabolites, is mainly dependent on the plant organ and tissue. Furthermore, the maximum content of these metabolites in the vegetative stage of alfalfa is due to the transition from the vegetative stage to the reproductive stage in these plants. Therefore, the late vegetative stage is the best phenological stage and the most suitable harvest time for medicinal applications.

Keywords: Flavonoid, Isoflavonoid, Phenol, Phytoestrogens, Roots, Shoots.

#### Introduction

Alfalfa (Medicago sativa L.) is a perennial herbaceous plant originates from Asia and its genus is one of the most extensive genera of the family Fabaceae, which has about 87 different species worldwide, and 15 species of annual and perennial grasses in Iran. Alfalfa is the oldest cultivated plant in the world. Since the distant past, this plant has been cultivated for soil improvement, livestock feeding, and medicinal uses [1, 2]. In traditional medicine, alfalfa medicinal plant is used to improve memory, treat kidney pain, cough and muscle pain, as rejuvenating, anti-diabetic, antioxidant, anti-inflammatory, anti-fungal, antianti-microbial, asthmatic. diuretic. lactating, and also is used to treat disorders of digestive and central nervous systems [1].

Alfalfa is an important source of phytoestrogens, due to the abundance of phenols, flavonoids, alkaloids, and isoflavonoids in this plant. Trigonelline, daidzein, coumestrol. genistein, formonontin, and biocanin A are the main phytoestrogens identified in alfalfa. has been determined It that phytoestrogens have estrogenic and, or anti-estrogenic effects on humans and animals, as well as androgenic and progesterone effects; due to the similarity of their chemical structure and molecular weight to 17-β-estradiol and their behavior as selective modulators of the estrogen receptor. They are potent inhibitors of bladder, uterus, prostate, breast, and kidney cancer cells. In addition to protection against cancers, cardiovascular diseases and their osteoporosis, potential antimicrobial and anti-diabetic effects have been proven [1, 3-9].

The presence of isoflavonoids is limited to the family Fabaceae. Epidemiological studies suggest that communities with high dietary intake of phytoestrogens have a lower risk of many diseases [10, 11].

Alfalfa isoflavones, similar to many plant compounds such as lignin, tannins, anthocyanins, and many phytoalexins, are produced from the phenylpropanoid pathway, which is catalyzed by key enzymes such as isoflavone synthase 1 (IFS1) and cytochromes P450 [12,13].

Some studies have reported changes in the content of alfalfa phytoestrogens according to the harvest time [7, 14]. It has been determined in several cultivars of M. sativa that the concentration and phytoestrogens vield of generally increased in spring harvests in the year after planting [14]. Also, some phytoestrogens of М. sativa were investigated in consecutive months; and the result showed that their amount were low in the early stages of plant growth [7]. Therefore, the growth stage and the method of harvesting are important factors in fodder quality. Different harvest times affect the plant's ability to re-grow [15].

So far, little research from Iran has been done on the effect of plant organs and different harvest times on the secondary metabolites of alfalfa, which is more important considering the high production potential of this plant in arid and semi-arid regions. Therefore, this study aimed to identify the best plant organ and phenological stage, regarding the highest amount of secondary metabolites.

#### Materials and methods

#### Test design

This experiment was carried out in a factorial completely randomized design with two factors, harvest time, and plant organ, on *M. sativa* cv. Yazdi with three replicates. Each experimental unit was an alfalfa plant in a perlite-cocopeat compacted bed as a hydroponic culture

in a cylindrical pot with a diameter and height of 25 cm.

### Planting

Plants were planted in the research greenhouse of Ferdowsi University of Mashhad in the agricultural year of 2015. First, the seeds of *M. sativa* cv. Yazdi were prepared by Pakan Bazr Esfahan Company, and their germination percentage was checked. Briefly, 100 alfalfa seeds were initially sterilized with 5% w/v sodium hypochlorite solution for one minute, and then were placed in each petri dish on paper (four petri dishes with a diameter of 20 cm), and 10 ml of distilled water was added. The dishes were placed in the dark at 25 °C for 72 hours. After three days, the seed germination percentage was calculated from Equation 1.

#### Germination percentage (%) = number of germinated seeds / total number of seeds × 100 (1)

According to the findings, the average germination percentage was seed 95.25%, which was considered suitable for planting and performing the experiment. To prepare the planting bed, perlite (medium grain) and cocopeat fibers were washed separately to remove any contamination, and sterilized in an autoclave (Vertical Autoclave, PECO Laboratory Equipments, Iran) (at 121 °C and 100 kPa for 15 minutes), and then the plastic cylindrical pots were filled with a perlite-cocopeat mixture ratio of 1:1 (v/v). Finally, the pots were washed several times. and the bed was compacted. After that, three alfalfa seeds were planted in each pot, and after the establishment of the plants, only one superior plant was kept in each pot.

## Environmental conditions

Alfalfa plants were grown in a greenhouse environment with a relative

humidity of 60±5% and light conditions of 16 hours of light with a light intensity of 150 µmol photons m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> at 25±2 °C and eight hours of darkness at 20±2 °C.

## Irrigation and feeding of plants

The plants were irrigated with distilled water (50 ml) every three days until the seeds germinated. After germination and until the 10-leaf stage (up to 4 weeks), they were irrigated with 150 ml of distilled water every three days, and 150 ml of Hoagland's nutrient solution (1/2 modified), every two weeks. From the 10-leaf stage onwards, the plants were irrigated weekly 150 ml of <sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub> modified Hoagland's nutrient solution, and after three days, irrigated with 250 ml of distilled water to remove the effects of accumulation of the elements in the pots.

# Preparation of ½ modified Hoagland's nutrient solution

Hoagland's nutrient solutions were prepared using the method described by Hoagland and Arnon (1950) with some modifications [16]. Briefly, the stock solutions of macronutrients (KNO<sub>3</sub>,  $Ca(NO_3)_2$ , KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>, and  $MgSO_4$ ), micronutrients (KCl, H<sub>3</sub>BO<sub>3</sub>, MnSO<sub>4</sub>, Na<sub>2</sub>Mo<sub>4</sub>, ZnSO<sub>4</sub>, and CuSO<sub>4</sub>) and iron were made, and the complete Hoagland's nutrient solution was prepared. All stock complete Hoagland's nutrient and solutions were prepared with distilled water (the required amounts of each element to form stock solutions and the amount of stock solution required to form complete Hoagland's nutrient solution are listed in Table 1).

Thereafter, to prepare ½ modified Hoagland's nutrient solution, the complete Hoagland's nutrient solution was diluted by ½ with distilled water and used to irrigate the plants. The solutions were kept away from light.

	Nutrient elements	The amount of nutrient elements to make 100 ml of stock solution*	The amount of stock solution to make 1000 ml of complete Hoagland's nutrient solution		
	KNO3	10 g	5 ml		
Macro-nutrients stock solutions	$Ca(NO_3)_2$	23.615 g	5 ml		
	$KH_2PO_4$	13.6 g	1 ml		
	$MgSO_4$	24.65 g	1 ml		
Micro-nutrients stock solution	KCl	0.1864 g			
	$H_3BO_3$	0.0733 g			
	$MnSO_4$	0.0169 g	2 1		
	$Na_2Mo_4$	0.004 g	2 1111		
	ZnSO <sub>4</sub>	0.0288 g			
	CuSO <sub>4</sub>	0.0562 g			
	1 g of FeSO <sub>4</sub> was heated in 500 ml of				
Iron stock	distilled water; then 1 g of NaEDTA was		1 ml		
solution	dissolved until a yellow solution was				
	obtained.				

#### Table 1: Preparation of complete Hoagland's nutrient solution

\* Stock solutions of macronutrients were prepared for each element separately, and the stock solution of micronutrients was prepared for all elements as a unit. All stock and complete Hoagland's solutions were made with distilled water.

#### Sampling

Sampling of alfalfa plants was done from the roots and shoots, separately, in two stages of the 30<sup>th</sup> and 60<sup>th</sup> days after sowing (DAS). The samples were dried in an oven (Oven model 5-1486, Memmert Co., Germany) at 40 °C for 72 hours.

## Extraction

The extraction of dried plant samples of the roots and shoots was done using the method described by Ismail et al. (2010) with some modifications [17]. Briefly, 100 mg of dry powder of alfalfa roots/shoots was mixed with 2 ml of methanol (80% v/v) and swirled in an incubator shaker (WiseCube model WIS-20, Daihan Scientific Co., Korea) at 45 °C and 150 rpm for 45 minutes; then incubated in Sonicate (Sonicate model 2600S, Parsonic Co., Japan) at 50 °C and 40 kHz for 44 minutes, and then the extracts were filtered using filter paper (Whatman No. 1, England), concentrated and dried under a chemical hood (at 55

°C for about 4 hours), and kept at -20 °C for further analysis.

## Dilution of extracts

Total phenols, flavonoids, and isoflavonoids assays were performed with the diluted extract  $(1 \text{ mg ml}^{-1})$  [17]. For this purpose, 2 mg of completely dried extract was dissolved in 2 ml of methanol (80% v/v) in the sonicate at 50 °C and 40 kHz for 40 minutes; in such a way that after every 20 minutes of sonication, it was vortexed (WiseMix model VM-10, Daihan Scientific Co., Korea) at 70 °C for two minutes.

## Quantifying the total phenolic content

Total phenolic content (TPC) was determined based on the Folin-Ciocalteu colorimetric assay using gallic acid as a standard, and the method described by Ismail *et al.* (2010) with some modifications [17]. Briefly, 2.5 ml of Folin-Ciocalteu reagent (10% v/v with distilled water) and 2 ml of sodium carbonate (7.5% w/v with distilled water) were added to 0.1 ml of the

diluted extract: so that the volume of the reaction solution became 4.6 ml. Each plant sample was measured in three technical replicates. After 30 minutes of incubation at 40 °C in the dark, the absorbance (average of three technical replicates) of the reaction solution was measured using UV-Vis а (Jasco-LCD spectrophotometer typemodel 7800, Japan Spectroscopic Co., Japan) at 760 nm against the blank solution (distilled water), and the TPC was expressed from the standard curve as µg gallic acid equivalent per mg of dry extract.

Finally, statistical analysis was performed on the TPC of treatment samples relative to the control group, and the findings were reported based on the mean of three replicate experiments and the standard deviation (Mean±SD).

## Standard curve of gallic acid

0.02 g of gallic acid was initially added to the volume of 50 ml with distilled water to make the 400  $\mu$ g ml<sup>-1</sup> stock solution, and then the concentrations of 0.032, 0.063, 0.094, 0.125, 0.188, 0.25, 0.313, 0.375, 0.438, 0.5, 0.563, 0.625, 0.782, 0.938, 1.094, and 1.25 ml of the stock solution were added to the volume of 2.5 ml with distilled water to gain the concentrations of 5, 10, 15, 20, 30, 40, 50, 60, 70, 80, 90, 100, 125, 150, 175, and 200  $\mu$ g ml<sup>-1</sup>, respectively.

Then, the absorbance of concentrations was measured in three technical replications, the same as before; and the standard curve of gallic acid was drawn based on the relationship between the concentration of the solutions and their corresponding average absorbance (three technical replications), using Microsoft Excel 2013 software, and the line equation to calculate the TPC of unknown samples was presented (Figure 1).



Figure 1 Gallic acid standard curve in spectrophotometric analysis

## Quantifying the total flavonoid content

Total flavonoid content (TFC) was measured based on an aluminum chloride colorimetric assay using quercetin as a standard, and the method described by Chang *et al.* (2002) with some modifications [18]. Briefly, 1.5 ml of 80% v/v methanol, 0.1 ml of aluminum trichloride (10% w/v with distilled

water), 0.1 ml of potassium acetate (1 M), and 2.8 ml of distilled water were added to 0.5 ml of the diluted extract; So that the volume of the reaction solution became 5 ml. Each plant sample was measured in three technical replicates. After 30 minutes of incubation at ambient temperature (25 °C). the absorbance (average of three technical replicates) of the reaction solution was measured using the **UV-Vis** 

spectrophotometer at 415 nm against the blank solution (similar to the reaction solution without extract and aluminum trichloride), and the TFC was expressed from the standard curve as  $\mu$ g quercetin equivalent per mg of dry extract. Finally, statistical analysis was performed on the TFC of treatment samples relative to the control group, and the findings were reported based on the mean of three replicate experiments and the standard deviation (Mean±SD).

#### Standard curve of quercetin

0.02 g of quercetin was initially added to the volume of 50 ml with methanol (80% v/v) to make a 400  $\mu$ g ml<sup>-1</sup> stock solution, and then the concentrations of

0.032, 0.063, 0.094, 0.125, 0.188, 0.25, 0.313, 0.375, 0.438, 0.5, 0.563, and 0.625 ml of the stock solution were added to the volume of 2.5 ml with methanol (80% v/v) to gain the concentrations of 5, 10, 15, 20, 30, 40, 50, 60, 70, 80, 90, and 100 μg ml<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. After that, the absorbance of the concentrations was measured in three technical replications, the same as before; and the quercetin standard curve was drawn based on the relationship between the concentration of the solutions and their corresponding average absorbance (three technical replications), using Microsoft Excel 2013 software, and the line equation to calculate the TFC of unknown samples were presented (Figure 2).



Figure 2 Quercetin standard curve in spectrophotometric analysis

#### Quantifying the total isoflavonoid content

Total isoflavonoid content (TIC) was measured based on an aluminum chloride colorimetric assay using genistin as a standard, and the method described by César et al. (2008) with some modifications [11]. Briefly, 0.125 ml of aluminum trichloride (2% w/v with methanol 80% v/v) and 2.375 ml of methanol (80% v/v) were added to 0.625 ml of the diluted extract; so that the volume of the reaction solution became 3.125 ml. Each plant sample was measured in three technical replicates. After incubating the samples with aluminum trichloride at ambient temperature (25 °C) for 10 minutes, the absorbance (average of three technical replicates) of the reaction solution was measured the UV-Vis using spectrophotometer at 382 nm against the blank solution (methanol 80% v/v), and the TIC was expressed from the standard curve as µg genistin equivalent per mg of dry extract. Finally, statistical analysis was performed on the TIC of treatment samples relative to the control group, and the findings were reported based on the mean of three replicate experiments and the standard deviation (Mean±SD).

#### Standard curve of genistin

3 mg of genistin was initially added to the volume of 100 ml with methanol (80% v/v) to make the 30 µg ml<sup>-1</sup> stock solution, and then the concentrations of 0.08, 0.17, 0.34, 0.5, 0.67, 0.84, 1, 1.17, 1.34, 1.5, 1.67, 1.84, 2, 2.17, 2.34, 2.5, 2.67, 2.84, 3, 3.17, 3.34, 4.17, 5, 5.84, 6.67, 7.5, 8.34, and 9.17 ml of the stock solution were added to the volume of 10 ml with methanol (80% v/v) to gain the concentrations of 0.25, 0.5, 1, 1.5, 2, 2.5, 3, 3.5, 4, 4.5, 5, 5.5, 6, 6.5, 7, 7.5, 8, 8.5, 9, 9.5, 10, 12.5, 15, 17.5, 20, 22.5, 25, and 27.5  $\mu$ g ml<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. Next, the absorbance of the concentrations was measured in three technical replications, the same as before; and the genistin standard curve was drawn based on the relationship between the concentration of the solutions and their corresponding average absorbance (three technical replications), using Microsoft Excel 2013 software, and the line equation to calculate the TIC of unknown samples were presented (Figure 3).



Figure 3 Genistin standard curve in spectrophotometric analysis

#### Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using IBM SPSS Statistics 20, Microsoft Excel 2013, and GraphPad Prism 8. Analysis of the variance of the experiment data was done by the twoway ANOVA test. The mean comparisons between the treatment groups were done by Duncan's multi-range test ( $P \le 0.05$ ), and the findings were reported based on the mean of three replications and standard deviation (Mean±SD). The data dispersion between independent and dependent variables was analyzed by linear regression, and the intensity and direction of the relationship between the measured attributes by Spearman's correlation coefficients (rs). Graphs were drawn with Microsoft Excel 2013 and GraphPad Prism 8.

#### Results

## The contents of secondary metabolites of alfalfa roots and shoots

The TPC, TFC, and TIC of the roots and shoots of alfalfa were evaluated in the  $30^{\text{th}}$  and  $60^{\text{th}}$  DAS. The variance analysis of the data showed that the effect of plant organs on the content of all three groups of secondary metabolites, as well as the effect of harvesting time on the TPC and TIC was significant at P<0.01. The effect of harvesting time on the TFC and also, the interaction effects of harvest time and plant organs on the TPC and TIC were significant at  $P \le 0.05$  while the interaction effect of harvest time and plant organ on the TFC was not significantly different (Table 2).

Variation Decourses	<b>Degrees of</b>			
variation Resources	Freedom	TPC	TFC	TIC
Plant organ	1	28415.93 **	141.09 **	22.93 **
Harvest time	1	6406.92 **	18.5 *	8.15 **
Plant organ × Harvest time	1	506.28 * 0.01 ns		2.25 *
Residual	8	93.48	1.94	0.22
Coefficient of Variation (%)		7.31	4.22	7.59

**Table 2** Analysis of variance (mean squares) of the effects of plant organ and harvest time on

 the TPC. TFC. and TIC of alfalfa

\*, and \*\* indicate significance at P $\leq$ 0.05 and P $\leq$ 0.01, respectively, and ns indicates non-significant.

The mean comparisons of the TPC, TFC, and TIC of the roots and shoots of alfalfa in the  $30^{\text{th}}$  and  $60^{\text{th}}$  DAS showed that the highest amount of these compounds was in the shoots on the  $60^{\text{th}}$  DAS (210.58, 37.68, and 8.81 µg gallic acid, quercetin, and genistin equivalent

per mg of dry extract, respectively) and the lowest amount of these metabolites was in the roots on the 30<sup>th</sup> DAS (67.05, 28.33, and 4.39 µg gallic acid, quercetin, and genistin equivalent per mg of dry extract, respectively) which were significant ( $P \le 0.05$ ) (Table 3).

 $\begin{array}{l} \textbf{Table 3} \ \text{Mean comparisons of the TPC, TFC, and TIC of the roots and shoots of alfalfa in the 30^{th} \\ \text{and } 60^{th} \ \text{DAS (as } \mu g \ \text{gallic acid, quercetin, and genistin equivalent per mg of dry extract,} \end{array}$ 

		respectively)			
Diant organ	Harvest	Secondary metabolites contents*			
Plant organ	time	TPC	TFC	TIC	
Poots	$30^{\text{th}}$	67.05±6.71 <sup>d</sup>	28.33±1.47 <sup>b</sup>	4.39±0.41 <sup>c</sup>	
ROOLS	60 <sup>th</sup>	100.27±3.36 °	30.87±0.48 b	5.18±0.43 <sup>c</sup>	
Shoots	$30^{\text{th}}$	151.38±13.62 <sup>b</sup>	35.24±0.39 ª	6.29±0.35 <sup>ь</sup>	
	60 <sup>th</sup>	210.58±2.77 <sup>a</sup>	37.68±1.63 ª	8.81±0.35 <sup>a</sup>	

\*The results are based on the mean of three replicate experiments (Mean±SD). In each column, means with at least one letter in common, are not significantly different by Duncan's multiple range test ( $P \le 0.05$ ).

Also, the TPC, TFC, and TIC increased by 116.35%, 23.17%, and 57.83%, respectively, in the shoots compared to the roots at both harvest times; which indicates the maximum accumulation of these metabolites in the shoots of the plant (Figure 4). On the other hand, the total metabolites of the roots and shoots in each group of the phenols, flavonoids, and isoflavonoids increased by 42.32, 7.82, and 57.83%, respectively, in the 60<sup>th</sup> DAS relative to the 30<sup>th</sup> DAS, which indicates an increase in the mentioned metabolites during the phenology stages of the plant (Figure 4).



**Figure 4** The TPC, TFC, and TIC of the roots and shoots of alfalfa in the 30<sup>th</sup> and 60<sup>th</sup> DAS (as µg gallic acid, quercetin, and genistin equivalent per mg of dry extract, respectively). The results are based on the mean of three replicate experiments. Means with at least one letter in common, are not significantly different by Duncan's multiple range test ( $P \le 0.05$ ) (Error bars = ±SD)

## Correlation between the TPC, TFC, and TIC of alfalfa roots and shoots

The relationship between TPC, TFC, and TIC of alfalfa roots and shoots was investigated at both harvest times. For this purpose, first, the data dispersion was analyzed by linear regression model. Due to the non-significance ( $P \le 0.05$ ) of the slope of the regression lines and the inappropriateness of their determination coefficients (R<sup>2</sup>), the linear regression model of the data was rejected (R<sup>2</sup> for TPCroots, TPCshoots, TFCroots, TFCshoots, TIC<sup>roots</sup>, and TIC<sup>shoots</sup> were 0.3894, 0.7269, 0.4668, 0.1847, 0.5435, and 0.9072, respectively). Therefore, to investigate the relationship between the mentioned Spearman's correlation metabolites, coefficients (rs) were calculated.

Spearman's correlation analysis indicated a positive and significant relationship ( $P \le 0.05$ ) between some secondary metabolites of the roots and shoots. By comparing the correlation coefficients, as shown in Figure 5, the highest positive correlations were observed between TFC<sup>roots</sup>-TFC<sup>shoots</sup>,

TFCshoots-TPCroots. and TFCroots-TPCroots (according to the maximum rs: 0.933, 0.905, and 0.885, respectively), which all three of them were significant; but the other correlations were not significant ( $P \le 0.05$ ). This comparison showed the different effects of the plant organ on the correlation level of the mentioned metabolites. So, the flavonoids of the roots and shoots showed the most positive correlation while isoflavonoids did not show a significant correlation ( $P \le 0.05$ ). The correlation coefficients and their significance levels are demonstrated in Figure 5.

On the other hand, by comparing (absolute value) the slope of the regression lines of the secondary metabolites contents of the roots and shoots of alfalfa, it was found that the most significant effects of the plant organs were on the TPC<sup>shoots</sup>, TPC<sup>roots</sup>, TIC<sup>shoots</sup>, TFC<sup>roots</sup>, TFC<sup>shoots</sup>, and TIC<sup>roots</sup> (according to the maximum slope: 14.85, 7.23, 0.7332, 0.6637, 0.4291, and 0.2345, respectively).

TPC <sup>root</sup> -	•••	<sup>ns</sup>	*	**	<sup>ns</sup>	<sup>ns</sup>
	1.000	0.771	0.829	0.943	0.543	0.543
TPC <sup>shoot</sup> -	<sup>ns</sup>	•••	ns	<sup>ns</sup>	ns	ns
	0.771	1.000	0.600	0.714	0.429	0.771
TFC <sup>root</sup> -	* 0.829	ns 0.600	 1.000	** 0.943	0.657	ns 0.714
TFC <sup>shoot</sup> -	••	<sup>ns</sup>	**	•••	ns	ns
	0.943	0.714	0.943	1.000	0.714	0.600
TIC <sup>root</sup> -	<sup>ns</sup>	ns	<sup>ns</sup>	ns		ns
	0.543	0.429	0.657	0.714	1.000	0.314
TIC <sup>shoot</sup> -	<sup>ns</sup>	<sup>ns</sup>	ns	ns	ns	
	0.543	0.771	0.714	0.600	0.314	1.000
	TPC <sup>root</sup>	TPC <sup>shoot</sup>	TFC <sup>root</sup>	TFC <sup>shoot</sup>	TICroot	TIC <sup>shoot</sup>

**Figure 5** Comparing the correlation of the TPC, TFC, and TIC of the roots and shoots of alfalfa. The results are based on Spearman's correlation coefficients (rs) (\*, \*\*, and \*\*\* indicate significance at  $P \le 0.05$ ,  $P \le 0.01$ , and  $P \le 0.001$ , respectively, and ns indicates non-significant)

## Discussion

## Effect of plant organ on TPC, TFC, and TIC

Investigation of total phenols, flavonoids, and isoflavonoids contents of alfalfa roots and shoots showed that the maximum accumulation of these metabolites was in the plant's shoots. Data analysis showed a significant effect of the plant organs on the contents of the compounds mentioned *(P*≤0.05). Therefore, it was found that the type of plant organ can affect the contents of its secondary metabolites.

Other studies showed a significant effect of plant organs on the content of phenolic compounds in the studied plant species. In a study on *M. sativa*, the highest contents of phenols, flavonoids, and tannins were reported in leaves [19]. In a phytochemical study on several perennial plant species from the legume family, including M. sativa, M. lupulina L., Trifolium pratense L., T. medium L., Onobrychis viciifolia Scop., Astragalus glycyphyllos L., and A. cicer L., some isoflavonoid compounds, tannins, and triterpene saponins were identified and compared in the shoots (leaf, stem, and flower). It was found that in most of the mentioned species, the highest amount of these metabolites were in the order of leaves > stems > flowers, and it was stated that the plant organ affects the secondary metabolites [20].

In a study on different extracts of some tissues of *M. sativa*, was found that the methanolic extracts of the leaves contained the highest content of TPC, and the flowers contained the highest TFC, and the methanolic extract of the stem showed the lowest amount of these compounds [21]. It has been determined that the leaves of *Smilax campestris* Griseb. contained more TPC and TFC than the roots and rhizomes [22]. In another study, the highest amounts of phenolic and flavonoid compounds were reported in the shoots of the *Sophora flavescens*  Aiton compared to the roots. [23] Similar findings have been reported in other researches on the purslane plant that most phenolic compounds were in purslane leaves [24, 25].

Studies have shown that leaves and higher flowers contain levels of secondary metabolites (such as phenolic acids and terpenoids) than stems and roots. In a study on different organs (root, stem, and leaf) of *M. sativa*, was shown that the maximum TPC and TFC and antioxidant activity of the methanol extract were in leaf > stem > root, respectively, and the TPC and TFC of leaves were more than twice that of roots [26]. In another study, the highest amount of phytoestrogens was observed in flowers among different organs of M. sativa and different stages of maturity [27]. Likewise, in another research, the TPC of different extracts of *M. sativa* flowers has been identified and compared, and the TPC of the methanolic extract was found to be 263.5 mg gallic acid equivalent per 100 g of dry extract. It was also found that the aqueous extract performed better than methanol and acetic acid to extract the phenolic metabolites [28].

In the study on the species of the genus Hypericum L., it was determined that the highest content of TPC was assigned to the leaves [29]. The highest amount of flavonoids was observed in the leaves of H. pruinatum Boiss. & Balansa [30]. Furthermore, the highest total phenolic and flavonoid content was reported in the leaves of Melissa officinalis L. [31]. A significant difference in TPC and TFC and antioxidant activity has been observed between different organs (fruit > flower > leaf > stem > leaf petiole) of Sinopodophylum hexandrum (Royle) T. S. Ying, shows a positive relationship between the TPC and the antioxidant activity of these organs [32]. The flowers of Sambusus nigra L. have the highest amount of polyphenols and phenolic acids, and the leaves and fruits of this plant are rich in flavonoids and anthocyanins. Also, different TPCs have been reported in the organs of *Crataegus pentagyna* Willd.; so, the maximum TPCs were in flowers, leaves, and fruits [32].

Plant organs have different functions and levels of exposure to environmental conditions that can affect the distribution of metabolites and their antioxidant capacity. The concentration of phenolic compounds in a plant is closely related to the type and function of the target organ [32]. According to the relationship between secondary metabolism and primary metabolism in plants, the presence of secondary metabolites is influenced by primary metabolism, and the structural precursors of these compounds are obtained from primary metabolites. Therefore, the distribution of secondary metabolites, similar to primary metabolites, is highly dependent on organs and tissues [33], and the mentioned studies also showed the maximum accumulation of phenolic compounds in the shoots of plants compared to the roots.

# *The effect of harvesting time on TPC, TFC, and TIC*

Investigating the contents of secondary metabolites at the 30<sup>th</sup> and 60<sup>th</sup> DAS showed that the TPC, TFC, and TIC reached their highest level in the second stage (60<sup>th</sup> DAS). Data analysis significant showed the effect of harvesting time on the content of the mentioned compounds ( $P \le 0.05$ ) so that the content of these secondary metabolites increased as the harvest time increased.

In a study, *M. sativa* leaves were harvested in autumn, winter, spring, and summer, and their aqueous and alcoholic extracts were analyzed. The highest TPC and TFC, as well as antioxidant activity, were observed in the alcoholic extract of autumn leaves [34]. A comparative study of the TIC of different aqueous, aqueousalcoholic, and alcoholic leaf extracts of seven species of alfalfa (*M. minima* (L.) Bartalini, M. tornata L., M. truncatula Gaertn., M. rigidula L., M. scutelata Mill., M. segitalis L., and M. sativa) has been done; and M. sativa TIC of all type of solvents was the lowest, but it did not mention the time and quality of harvesting [35]. In another study on several cultivars of *M. sativa*, it was found that these metabolites increased in the spring harvest of the year after planting [14]. It has been reported that the content of phenolics strongly depends on growth conditions, degree the of maturity, and maturity of alfalfa, and also the time of harvest affects the diversity and chemical composition and total isoflavonoid content [7]. Therefore, the change in phenol content and antioxidant capacity during different stages of plant growth is closely related to its metabolic and physiological changes [36].

In another study, it was reported that the content of flavonoids in the shoots of *M. sativa* changed in multiple harvests in a year. Therefore, the first harvest showed the highest amount of these compounds compared to the subsequent harvests, and it was stated that the TFC gradually decreases during the season [37]. It was determined in research on several cultivars of *M. sativa* at the initial flowering stage, that the concentration and yield of phytoestrogens generally increased in the spring harvest of the year after planting [14]. In another study, the highest amount of phytoestrogens was observed in flowers among different organs of *M. sativa* and different maturity stages [27]. In a study, it was reported that the content of phenolics is highly dependent on the growth conditions and maturity of alfalfa; and the harvest time affects the diversity and chemical composition as well as the total isoflavonoid content. and some phytoestrogens of *M. sativa* were low in consecutive months of harvest and the initial stages of plant growth [7].

general, plant phenols show In significant quantitative and qualitative changes not only at genetic levels (between and within species and clones), but also between different developmental and physiological stages. The assessment of seasonal and genetic changes in the content and activity of phenolic compounds allows choosing the best time to harvest the plant [32]. In addition to the seasonal fluctuations that occur during the growing season, the age of the plant can also be an important factor in the content of secondary metabolites [38].

Several genetic, ontogeny, morphogenetic, and environmental factors can affect the biosynthesis and accumulation of secondary metabolites [37, 39]. Due to the close relationship between secondary metabolism and primary metabolism in plants, the existence of secondary metabolites is influenced by the primary metabolism of plant. Structural precursors of the secondary metabolites are derived from primary metabolites. The main pathways of secondary metabolites in plants and their relationship with primary metabolism have been identified [33].

## Conclusion

This experiment confirmed that the TPC, TFC, and TIC were significantly higher in the shoots than in the roots: their maximum amount in the shoots of alfalfa is proof of the synthesis and accumulation of these secondary metabolites, mainly in the shoots of the plant. According to the findings of the present research, it can be concluded that the distribution of secondary metabolites in plants, similar to primary metabolites, is highly dependent on organs and tissues, and the maximum accumulation of phenolic compounds is in the shoots of plants relative to the roots. On the other

hand, the highest TPC, TFC, and TIC in the late vegetative stage of alfalfa is due to the transition from the vegetative stage to the reproductive stage in these plants and can be an important factor in determining the quality and yield of the product. Since the presence of alfalfa phytoestrogens is very important and beneficial to humans for their medicinal properties as well as fodder production of meat animals; the late vegetative stage is a valuable phase and the most suitable time for harvesting, because of the highest level phytoestrogens. Also, postponing the harvest time to the late vegetative stage, the plant will be able to store the necessary energy for the next re-growth after harvesting.

#### Acknowledgments

The authors are grateful to the research assistantship of Ferdowsi University of Mashhad for providing the costs of the present research from the research credits of that assistantship (with the research project code of the Ph.D. thesis: 3.43520).

## ORCID

Hamid Reza Vahidipour https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0085-4113 Monireh Cheniany https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6390-1752 Mehrdad Lahouti https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6836-6189 Ali Ganjeali https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0956-8650 Maryam Moghaddam Matin https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7949-7712

#### References

1. Bora KS, Sharma A. Phytochemical and pharmacological potential of Medicago sativa: A review, *Pharmaceutical biology*; 2011 Feb 1; 49(2):211-20. [Crossref], [Google Scholar], [Publisher]

2. Gholami A, De Geyter N, Pollier J, Goormachtig S, Goossens A. Natural product biosynthesis in Medicago species, *Natural Product Reports*; 2014; 31(3):356-80. [Crossref], [Google Scholar], [Publisher]

3. Shailajan S, Sayed N, Menon S, Singh A, Mhatre M. A validated RP-HPLC method for quantitation of trigonelline from herbal formulations containing Trigonella foenum-graecum (L.) seeds, *Pharmaceutical Methods*; 2011 Jul 1; 2(3):157-60. [Crossref], [Google Scholar], [Publisher]

4. Ludwig IA, Clifford MN, Lean ME, Ashihara H, Crozier A. Coffee: biochemistry and potential impact on health. Food & Function; 2014; 5(8):1695-717. [Crossref], [Google Scholar], [Publisher]

5. Preedy VR, editor, Coffee in health and disease prevention; *Academic Press*; 2014 Nov 12. [Crossref], [Google Scholar], [Publisher]

6. Ashihara H, Ludwig IA, Katahira R, Yokota T, Fujimura T, Crozier A. Trigonelline and related nicotinic acid metabolites: occurrence, biosynthesis, taxonomic considerations, and their roles in planta and in human health, Phytochemistry *Reviews*; 2015 Oct: 14:765-98. [Crossref], [Google Scholar], [Publisher]

7. Soto-Zarazúa MG, Rodrigues F, Pimentel FB, Bah MM, Oliveira MB. The isoflavone content of two new alfalfaderived products for instant beverage preparation, *Food & function*; 2016; 7(1):364-71. [Crossref], [Google Scholar], [Publisher]

8. Moore KJ, Collins M, Nelson CJ, Redfearn DD, editors. Forages, Volume 2: The science of grassland agriculture; *John Wiley & Sons*; 2020 Aug 24. [Crossref], [Google Scholar], [Publisher]

9. Katoch R. Nutritional Quality Estimation of Forages. InNutritional Quality Management of Forages in the Himalayan Region 2022 Apr 13 (pp. 225-278). Singapore: Springer Singapore. [Crossref], [Google Scholar], [Publisher] 10. Yildiz F, editor. Phytoestrogens in functional foods, *CRC Press*; 2019 Jul 17. [Crossref], [Google Scholar], [Publisher]

11. César ID, Braga FC, Vianna-Soares CD, Nunan ED, Pianetti GA, Moreira-Campos LM. Quantitation of genistein and genistin in soy dry extracts by UV-Visible spectrophotometric method, *Química Nova*; 2008; 31(8):1933-6. [Crossref], [Google Scholar], [Publisher]

12. Saunders JA, Matthews BF, Romeo J. Regulation of phytochemicals by molecular techniques, *Elsevier*; 2001 Jul 23. [Google Scholar], [Publisher]

13. Du H, Huang Y, Tang Y. Genetic and metabolic engineering of isoflavonoid biosynthesis, *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology*; 2010 May; 86:1293-312. [Crossref], [Google Scholar], [Publisher]

14. Seguin P, Zheng W. Phytoestrogen content of alfalfa cultivars grown in eastern Canada, *Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture*; 2006 Apr 15; 86(5):765-71. [Crossref], [Google Scholar], [Publisher]

15. Atumo T, Jones CS. Varietal differences in yield and nutritional quality of alfalfa (Medicago sativa) accessions during 20 months after planting in Ethiopia, *Tropical Grasslands-Forrajes Tropicales*; 2021 Jan 30; 9(1):89-96. [Crossref], [Google Scholar], [Publisher]

16. Hoagland DR, Arnon DI. The waterculture method for growing plants without soil, Circular, *California agricultural experiment station*; 1950; 347(2nd edit). [Crossref], [Google Scholar], [Publisher]

Ismail HI, Chan KW, Mariod AA, 17. Ismail М. Phenolic content and antioxidant activity of cantaloupe (Cucumis melo) methanolic extracts, 2010 chemistry; Mar Food 15; 119(2):643-7. [Crossref], [Google Scholar], [Publisher]

18. Chang CC, Yang MH, Wen HM, Chern JC. Estimation of total flavonoid content in propolis by two complementary

colometric methods, *Journal of food and drug analysis*; 2002; 10(3):3. [Crossref], [Google Scholar], [Publisher]

19. Turgut BÅ, Bezirganoglu İ. Callus Induction and Bioactive Compounds Production from Various Cultivars of Medicago sativa L.(alfalfa), *Journal of the Institute of Science and Technology*; 2023 Sep 1; 13(3):1625-32. [Crossref], [Google Scholar], [Publisher]

20. Butkutė B, Padarauskas A, Cesevičienė J, Taujenis L, Norkevičienė E. Phytochemical composition of temperate perennial legumes, *Crop and Pasture Science*; 2018 Aug 31; 69(10):1020-30. [Crossref], [Google Scholar], [Publisher]

21. Krakowska A, Rafińska K, Walczak J, Kowalkowski Buszewski T. B. various Comparison of extraction techniques of Medicago sativa: yield, antioxidant activity, and content of phytochemical constituents, Journal of AOAC International; 2017 Nov 1: 100(6):1681-93. [Crossref], [Google Scholar], [Publisher]

22. RUGNA AZ, GURNI AA, WAGNER ML. Phenological variations of polyphenols in Smilax campestris (Smilacaceae), *Turkish Journal of Botany*; 2013; 37(2):350-4. [Crossref], [Google Scholar], [Publisher]

23. Lee J, Jung J, Son SH, Kim HB, Noh YH, Min SR, Park KH, Kim DS, Park SU, Lee HS, Kim CY. Profiling of the major phenolic compounds and their biosynthesis genes in Sophora flavescens aiton, *The Scientific World Journal*; 2018 Jan 1; 2018. [Crossref], [Google Scholar], [Publisher]

24. Oliveira I, Valentão P, Lopes R, Andrade PB, Bento A, Pereira JA. Phytochemical characterization and radical scavenging activity of Portulaca oleraceae L. leaves and stems, *Microchemical Journal*; 2009 Jul 1; 92(2):129-34. [Crossref], [Google Scholar], [Publisher]

25. Petropoulos SA, Fernandes Â, Dias MI, Vasilakoglou IB, Petrotos K, Barros L,

Ferreira IC. Nutritional value, chemical composition and cytotoxic properties of common purslane (Portulaca oleracea L.) in relation to harvesting stage and plant part, *Antioxidants*; 2019 Aug 8; 8(8):293. [Crossref], [Google Scholar], [Publisher]

26. Páramo L, Feregrino-Pérez AA, Vega-González M, Escobar-Alarcón L, Esquivel K. Medicago sativa L. Plant Response against Possible Eustressors (Fe, Ag, Cu)-TiO<sub>2</sub>: Evaluation of Physiological Parameters, Total Phenol Content, and Flavonoid Quantification, *Plants*; 2023 Feb 2; 12(3):659. [Crossref], [Google Scholar], [Publisher]

27. Seguin P, Zheng W, Souleimanov A. Alfalfa phytoestrogen content: Impact of plant maturity and herbage components, *Journal of Agronomy and Crop Science*; 2004 Jun; 190(3):211-7. [Crossref], [Google Scholar], [Publisher]

28. Caunii A, Pribac G, Grozea I, Gaitin D, Samfira I. Design of optimal solvent for extraction of bio–active ingredients from six varieties of Medicago sativa, *Chemistry Central Journal*; 2012 Dec; 6(1):1-8. [Crossref], [Google Scholar], [Publisher]

29. Ayan AK, Yanar P, Cirak C, Bilgener M. Morphogenetic and diurnal variation of total phenols in some Hypericum species from Turkey during their phenological cycles, *Bangladesh Journal of Botany*; 2007; 36(1):39-46. [Crossref], [Google Scholar]

30. ÇIRAK C, Radusiene J, Ivanauskas L, Jakstas V, ÇAMAŞ N. Phenological changes in the chemical content of wild and greenhouse-grown Hypericum pruinatum: flavonoids, Turkish Journal of Agriculture and Forestry; 2014; 38(3):362-70. [Crossref], [Google Scholar], [Publisher]

31. Saeb K, Gholamrezaee S, Asadi MA. Variation of antioxidant activity of Melissa officinalis leaves extracts during the different stages of plant growth, *Biomedical and Pharmacology Journal*; 2011; 4(2):237-43. [Crossref], [Google Scholar], [Publisher]

32. Nurzyńska-Wierdak R. Phenolic Compounds from New Natural Sources— Plant Genotype and Ontogenetic Variation, *Molecules*; 2023 Feb 11; 28(4):1731. [Crossref], [Google Scholar], [Publisher]

33. Sharma A, Shahzad B, Rehman A, Bhardwaj R, Landi M, Zheng B. Response of phenylpropanoid pathway and the role of polyphenols in plants under abiotic stress, *Molecules*; 2019 Jul 4; 24(13):2452. [Crossref], [Google Scholar], [Publisher]

34. Soufan W, Okla MK, Salamatullah A, Hayat K, Abdel-Maksoud MA, Al-Amri SS. Seasonal variation in yield, nutritive value, and antioxidant capacity of leaves of alfalfa plants grown in arid climate of Chilean Saudi Arabia, journal of agricultural research; 2021 Jun; 81(2):182-90. [Crossref], [Google Scholar], [Publisher]

35. Rodrigues F, Almeida I, Sarmento B, Amaral MH, Oliveira MB. Study of the isoflavone content of different extracts of Medicago spp. as potential active ingredient, *Industrial Crops and Products*; 2014 Jun 1; 57:110-5. [Crossref], [Google Scholar], [Publisher]

36. Chouaieb H, Ayadi I, Zouari S, Fakhfakh N, Zaidi S, Zouari N. Effect of phenological stage and geographical location on antioxidant activities of tunisian horehound: Marrubium vulgare L.(Lamiaceae), *Journal of Biologically Active Products from Nature*; 2012 Jan 1; 2(4):232-8. [Crossref], [Google Scholar], [Publisher]

37. Li Y, Zidorn C. Seasonal variations of natural products in European herbs, *Phytochemistry Reviews*; 2022 Oct; 21(5):1549-75. [Crossref], [Google Scholar], [Publisher]

38. Szakiel A, Pączkowski C, Henry M. Influence of environmental abiotic factors on the content of saponins in plants, *Phytochemistry Reviews*; 2011 Dec; 10:471-91. [Crossref], [Google Scholar], [Publisher]

39. Yang L, Wen KS, Ruan X, Zhao YX, Wei F, Wang Q. Response of plant secondary metabolites to environmental factors, *Molecules*; 2018 Mar 27; 23(4):762. [Crossref], [Google Scholar], [Publisher]

How to cite this article:

Hamid Reza Vahidipour, Monireh Cheniany, Mehrdad Lahouti, Ali Ganjeali, Maryam Moghaddam Matin. The Correlation of Some Secondary Metabolites of Alfalfa (*Medicago sativa* L.) with Plant Organ and Harvest Time. *International Journal of Advanced Biological and Biomedical Research*, 2024, 12(1), 28-43. Link: https://www.ijabbr.com/article\_709299.html

Copyright © 2024 by authors and SPC (Sami Publishing Company) + is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY) license (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.